GENETIC DISTANCE AND PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS OF
*Tetraponera rufonigra* Jerdon (HYMENOPTERA: FORMICIDAE) POPULATIONS IN PENANG, MALAYSIA

Faezah Syukriah Sabtu & Abdul Hafiz Ab Majid*
Household & Structural Urban Entomology Laboratory,
Vector Control Research Unit, School of Biological Sciences,
Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Minden, Penang, Malaysia.
*Corresponding author: abdhafiz@usm.my

ABSTRACT

*Tetraponera rufonigra*, commonly known as the arboreal bicolored ant has gained its notoriety as one of the medically-important pest insects in Malaysia. *Tetraponera rufonigra* is recognizable by its excruciating sting, causing a severe anaphylaxis especially to those with the history of allergy. The aim of this study was to assess the phylogenetic relationships among the populations of *T. rufonigra* inferred from 503bp of the mitochondrial DNA Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) gene. The data obtained were analysed using the T-coffee, ClustalX, MEGA 6.0 and PAUP software. A major monophyletic clade was formed consists of haplotype 1 and haplotype 2. Haplotype 1 consists of samples mostly from industrial areas, differing from the other populations studied by one singleton base. This study will enhance the knowledge about the population’s relationship of *T. rufonigra* as well as it control and role in fragile ecosystem of Penang, Malaysia.
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ABSTRAK

Kata kunci: *Tetraponera rufonigra*, semut arboreal dwi-warna, phylogenetik, subunit sitokrom oksida 1 (CO1).

**INTRODUCTION**

*Tetraponera rufonigra* Jordan (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) which belongs to the subfamily Pseudomyrmicinae is one of the more distinctive groups inhabiting arboreal stratum in tropical and sub-tropical regions (Ward 2001). There are three genera of Pseudomyrmecinae: *Myrcidis* Ward (two species), *Pseudomyrmex* Lund (~200 species) and *Tetraponera* F. Smith (~100 species). The genus *Tetraponera* from The Old World, pseudomyrmecines are distributed throughout Paleotropics, with Eocene/Oligocene fossils known from Europe (Bolton 1994). Although less attention is given to this genus, a taxonomic revision and phylogenetic study of the Indo-Australian species of *Tetraponera* had classified the genus into four species groups i.e. *allaborans, nigra, pilosa* and *rufonigra*. The genus was believed to be originated from Africa and dispersed on numerous occasions into Asia (Ward 2001). Based on research by Ward and Downie (2005), *Tetraponera* is possibly paraphyletic which related to the New World pseudomyrmecines comprising of genera *Pseudomyrmex* + *Myrcidris*. Besides, estimated date of species divergence between *Pseudomyrmex* and *Tetraponera* (represented by *T. rufonigra*) was probably 54 Mya (Ward & Brady 2003).

*Tetraponera rufonigra* (locally known as ‘Semut Selangor’) is a large bicolored ant species with abroad dark head and gaster and light orange-brown body. This species is well-distributed around the Indian subcontinent as well as Southeast Asia (Davidson et al. 2003). They appear to be invasive, probably due to human-mediated introduction at these respective regions in the past. Adding to this, the nesting habits of *Tetraponera* species are known to be generalist inhabitants, generally in dead hollow twigs and stems. In general, studies on *T. rufonigra* are extremely limited in Malaysia. The first study on the genus *Tetraponera* was on nucleotide content of the cytochrome b region in the mitochondrial DNA of *T. rufoniger* (Jermiin & Crozier 1994). More than a decade after, Chong and Lee (2010) studied inter- and intraspecific aggression in the invasive long-legged ant (*A. gracilipes*) and *T. rufonigra*. They stated that *T. rufonigra* could effectively compete against *A. gracilipes* during group interactions. The most recent study was conducted by Norasmah et al. (2012) concerning diurnal foraging activity and nutrient preferences of *T. rufonigra* in Penang Island, Malaysia.

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) had been widely used in phylogenetic studies of insects due to its relatively high substitution rate suitable for constructing a phylogenetic tree (Galtier et al. 2009). Furthermore, mtDNA evolves more rapidly in differentiation between the closely related species. In this study, a partial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 (COI) was chosen as a genetic marker for *T. rufonigra*. This marker has been used to determine phylogenetic relationships in relatively lower categorical levels such as in families, genera, species or populations (Hwang & Kim 1999).

To date, genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationship of *T. rufonigra* from Malaysia and other countries are unknown. Therefore, in this study, genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships of *T. rufonigra* in Penang Island were determined using partial COI gene sequence. Sequence comparison of COI gene among *T. rufonigra* collected from different locations were also reported.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**
Sampling of *Tetraponera rufonigra*

Samples of *T. rufonigra* workers were collected from several locations within eastern part of Penang Island. These locations were mapped based on collection locality data using ArcGIS 10.3 Software (ESRI 2011) (Figure 1). Workers of *T. rufonigra* in Penang Island were collected using a glass jar containing a bait. The tuna (protein) approximately weight 0.2-0.3 grams were used as a food source (bait) in each trap and placed near the infested trees especially in the foraging area. The traps were collected after 30 minutes and brought back to the laboratory for sorting and identification purpose. All specimens were labelled and kept according to the locations. The specimens were preserved in 95% ethanol for DNA extraction, COI amplification and sequencing. A total of 28 specimens (including one samples of outgroup, *Tetraponera hespera* with an accession number of DQ176236.1) where five workers’ head from each site were used in this study (Table 1).

![Map of Penang Island showing study locations of *Tetraponera rufonigra* collected for this study. Abbreviations are listed in Table 1.](image)
Table 1. Collection sites at Penang Island, Malaysia specimens’ voucher, haplotype and accession number of each *Tetraponera rufonigra* specimens in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen_voucher</th>
<th>Location code</th>
<th>Collection sites</th>
<th>Collection_date</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Isolation source</th>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Lat_Lon</th>
<th>Haplotype</th>
<th>Accession_number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USM: PBU: FS001</td>
<td>PBU</td>
<td>Persiaran BatuUban</td>
<td>4-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Acacia mangium</em></td>
<td>FS001</td>
<td>05° 21.090’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: LSG: FS002</td>
<td>LSG</td>
<td>Lorong Sg. Tiram</td>
<td>5-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Cerbera odollam</em></td>
<td>FS002</td>
<td>05° 18.308’E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: HSK: FS003</td>
<td>HSK</td>
<td>Hilir Sg. Kluang</td>
<td>11-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Tabebuia rosea</em></td>
<td>FS003</td>
<td>05° 18.243’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: MBL: FS004</td>
<td>MBL</td>
<td>Medan Bayan Lepas</td>
<td>12-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Tabebuia rosea</em></td>
<td>FS004</td>
<td>05° 17.874’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: JST5: FS005</td>
<td>JST5</td>
<td>Jln. Sg. Tiram 5</td>
<td>6-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Cassia fistula</em></td>
<td>FS005</td>
<td>05° 18.087’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: MA: FS006</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Medan Angsana</td>
<td>20-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Cassia javanica</em> spp. nodosa</td>
<td>FS006</td>
<td>05° 17.070’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: TLK: FS007</td>
<td>TLK</td>
<td>Taman LumbaKuda</td>
<td>26-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Tabebuia sp.</em></td>
<td>FS007</td>
<td>05° 17.959’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: LSB: FS008</td>
<td>LSB</td>
<td>Lintang Sg. Batu</td>
<td>27-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Dead tree</td>
<td>FS008</td>
<td>05° 14.362’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: USM: FS009</td>
<td>USM</td>
<td>Universiti Sains Malaysia</td>
<td>20-Nov-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Mimosop selengi</em></td>
<td>FS009</td>
<td>05° 24.609’N</td>
<td>Haplo 2</td>
<td>KX398213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: CH: FS010</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Cheeseman</td>
<td>10-Nov-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Pongamia pinnata</em></td>
<td>FS010</td>
<td>05° 18.033’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: II: FS011</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Isle of Island</td>
<td>25-Nov-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Shrubs</td>
<td>FS011</td>
<td>05° 23.038’N</td>
<td>Haplo 2</td>
<td>KX398215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: LR: FS012</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>Lebuh Rambai</td>
<td>19-Dec-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Swietenia macrophylla</em></td>
<td>FS012</td>
<td>05° 16.669’N</td>
<td>Haplo 2</td>
<td>KX398216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: BJ: FS014</td>
<td>BJ</td>
<td>Bukit Jambul</td>
<td>8-Nov-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Swietenia macrophylla</em></td>
<td>FS014</td>
<td>05° 17.480’N</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: TM: FS016</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Taman Mahsuri</td>
<td>12-Nov-15</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Ficus sp.</em></td>
<td>FS016</td>
<td>05° 17.283’E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: MT: FS017</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Medan Tembaga</td>
<td>10-Jan-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Cerbera odollam</em></td>
<td>FS017</td>
<td>05° 18.014’E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen_voucher</td>
<td>Location code</td>
<td>Collection sites</td>
<td>Collection_date</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Isolation source</td>
<td>Isolate</td>
<td>Lat_Lon</td>
<td>Haplotype</td>
<td>Accession number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: BM: FS018</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>BatuMaung</td>
<td>16-Jan-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Casuarina equisetifolia</em></td>
<td>FS018</td>
<td>05° 17.655N 100° 16.770E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: CSA: FS019</td>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>Cangkat Sg. Ara</td>
<td>31-Jan-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Mangifera indica</em></td>
<td>FS019</td>
<td>05° 19.929N 100° 15.923E</td>
<td>Haplo 2</td>
<td>KX398223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: HKJ: FS020</td>
<td>HKJ</td>
<td>Halaman Kg. Jawa</td>
<td>5-Feb-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Azadirachta indica</em></td>
<td>FS020</td>
<td>05° 19.585N 100° 17.875E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: JA: FS021</td>
<td>JA</td>
<td>Jesselton Avenue</td>
<td>12-Feb-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Azadirachta indica</em></td>
<td>FS021</td>
<td>05° 19.070N 100° 17.887E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: E: FS022</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Edgecumbe</td>
<td>6-Feb-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Cerbera odollam</em></td>
<td>FS022</td>
<td>05° 18.863N 100° 17.383E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: JSK: FS023</td>
<td>JSK</td>
<td>Jln. Sg. Keluang</td>
<td>5-Feb-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Delonix regia</em></td>
<td>FS023</td>
<td>05° 25.744N 100° 17.778E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: TB: FS024</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>Taman Berjaya</td>
<td>6-Feb-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Plumeriarubra cultivar</em></td>
<td>FS024</td>
<td>05° 26.031N 100° 17.145E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: LAT: FS025</td>
<td>LAT</td>
<td>Lorong Air Terjun</td>
<td>12-Feb-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Swietenia macrophylla</em></td>
<td>FS025</td>
<td>05° 26.145N 100° 17.778E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: JM: FS026</td>
<td>JM</td>
<td>Jln. Midland</td>
<td>13-Feb-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Azadirachta indica</em></td>
<td>FS026</td>
<td>05° 26.145N 100° 17.145E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USM: HM: FS027</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>Halaman Macalister</td>
<td>14-Feb-16</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td><em>Cerbera odollam</em></td>
<td>FS027</td>
<td>05° 18.904E 100° 17.145E</td>
<td>Haplo 1</td>
<td>KX398231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Morphological Characterization and Species Identification

*Tetraponera rufonigra* were identified by using keys to species of genus *Tetraponera* based on worker caste (Ward 2001; Xu & Chai 2004). Identifications were based on 10 specimens from each collection and enumerated with mean lengths (mm) of antennae and antennal club formed with apical and pre-apical segments, and two-segmented petiole connecting gaster and thorax. Measurements were denoted in mm at 250x magnification, using a micrometer in the ocular lens of a dissection microscope. Other morphological characters supplementing identification were body colour, head shape, length of head and body and prominence of eyes. Morphology was further illustrated by the following measurements, namely Head length (HL) midline length of head proper, from posterior margin to the anterior extremity of clypeus (just before mandibles), Head width (HW) maximum width of eyes, including the eyes, profemur length (FL) length of the profemur, profemur width (PW) maximum measurable width of promefur, petiole length (PL) length of the petiole measured in lateral view from the lateral flanges of the anterior to posterior margin of the petiole, petiole height (PH) maximum height of the petiole measured in lateral view and dorsal petiole width (DPW) maximum width of the petiole measured in dorsal view (Figures 2 - 3). The indices used in further illustrations were calculated as follows: a) cephalic index (CI), HW/ HL; b) petiole width index (PWI), DPW/PL. The measurements were recorded as a number of units on the micro meter in the left ocular and were converted to mm (1 unit=0.4 mm) using a calibration scale. For example, a number of units recorded at 4.68 units are equivalent to 1.87 mm.

Figure 2. Morphological characters and various measurements of *Tetraponera rufonigra* in frontal view.
DNA Extraction
DNA of *T. rufonigra* was extracted using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit, Lot Number 151027140 (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) with a slight modification. Prior to DNA extraction, specimens were sterilized using 100% ethanol, rinsed with sterile distilled water and air dried for few seconds. The specimens were homogenized in the cell lysis buffer with Proteinase K at 4°C, and then incubated for an hour at 60°C. The lysates were then subjected to protein precipitation, and ethanol wash, followed by the elution step using 50 μL buffer AE. The elution step was repeated twice to give a final volume of 100 μL. The DNA concentration purity and quality were quantitated using Nanodrop 2000c (Thermoscientific, USA).

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Amplification and DNA Sequencing
A 579 bp fragment of the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene was amplified using standard forward and reverse primers from previous sample of *T. rufonigra* deposited at Genebank with an accession number FJ436846 (Heil et al. 2009; Kautz et al. 2009) (F’, ‘5-TCCGTATGGCCTACCCC-3’ and R’, ‘5-AATCCGATGGCTAATATTGCGT-3’).

PCR was performed in a total volume of 50 μL, containing 25 μL Master Mix (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), 2.5 μL of each primer, 5 μL DNA template, and 15 μL ddH₂O (Table 3). The PCR amplification was carried out using a ‘touchdown’ procedure. The PCR profile was set following Ab Majid and Kee (2015); Seri Masran and Ab Majid (2017); Sabtu and Ab Majid (2017) at initial denaturation at 94°C, 1 cycle for 4 minutes and followed by a touchdown phase of thirty cycles with denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, and hybridization at 60°C for 30 seconds (for first cycle) decreasing 0.5°C per cycle to 45.5°C in the last cycle and extension at a temperature of 72°C for 1 minute. Another thirty cycles of PCR were done with denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 45°C for 30 seconds and extension at 72°C for 1 minute. Final extension was set at 72°C for 10 minutes before storage at 4°C.

Single band of PCR products were visualized on 0.8% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Approximately 45μL of PCR product were subsequently purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit, Lot number 148022416 (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) (Ward &
Downie 2005) and sequenced by 1st BASE DNA Sequencing Services Company (1st BASE Laboratories, Serdang, Malaysia). All sequences were deposited in GeneBank under accession number KX398205 to KX398231 (Table 1).

Phylogenetic Analysis
Nucleotide sequences were aligned by using multiple alignment programmes, T-Coffee (Notredame et al. 2000) and ClustalX (Thompson et al. 1997). Phylogenetic relationship was examined and constructed by using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA), version 6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013; Kheng et al. 2019). The Maximum likelihood (ML) tree was constructed with HKY model due to lowest Akaike’s Information Score (AIC) score from best fits models. AIC is a goodness of fit test that compares the amount of information lost in a statistical model when that model is compared to the data (Nei & Kumar 2000). However, the Neighbor-joining (NJ) analyses were done based on substitution model using PAUP4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) and NJ tree was constructed based on the genetic distance determined by Kimura 2-parameter with 1000 replication. The Tetraponera hespera (accession number of DQ176236.1) was used as the outgroup to root the tree.

RESULTS

Morphological Characterization
A total of 270 individuals of T. rufonigra representing 10 individuals from every 27 populations were identified using morphological characters. Individuals were mostly broad-headed 1.7–2.0 (CI>0.85). The head and gaster were dark brown to brownish-black, mesosoma and petiole were light orange to brown, while, mandibles, antennae, protibial and tarsi were medium-brown to yellowish-brown. All individuals showed 92-96% similarity with T. rufonigra sequences available in the NCBI Genbank. Values of Scape Index (SI) of several individuals were below the range as measured by Ward (2001).

Phylogenetic Analysis
A total of 170 individuals from 27 populations of T. rufonigra in Penang Island, Malaysia were successfully genotyped and scored for COI markers. The average fragment size for COI gene of T. rufonigra was approximately 579 bp. BLAST analysis of COI gene sequences against the NCBI GenBank database showed percentage similarities ranging from 92% to 95%.

A 379 bp of the partial COI gene of 27 sequences of T. rufonigra and the sequence of outgroup, T. hespera were successfully obtained after the sequence alignment using T-Coffee Molecular Software (Notredame et al. 2000) and ClustalX (Thompson et al. 1997). The average values of nucleotide frequencies were as follows: Adenine (A=28.4 %), Thymine (T=35.5 %), Cytosine (C=20.9 %), and Guanine (G=15.2%), consistent with the findings of Jermiin and Crozier (1994). Of the 379 bp of the partial COI gene, 275 were conserved sites, 104 sites were variables and 103 were a singleton. The high number of conserved sites indicated that this genetic marker was highly conserved.

Genetic relationships between the populations as shown in the NJ with two major groups were formed (Figure 4). Among 27 populations sequenced, two haplotypes were identified. Most of the populations were in Haplotype 1, except for Taman Mahsuri (TM), Cangkat Sg. Ara (CSA), Sungai Gelugor (SG), Lebuh Rambai (LR) and Cheeseman (CH) populations (Haplotype 2). Phylogenetic trees of NJ revealed the monophyly of T. rufonigra supported by 50% of bootstrap value. One major monophyletic group consisted of all
Haplotype 1 populations (Figure 4). Meanwhile, the remaining populations were in Haplotype 2, appeared to be closely related to the major group populations.

Genetic distances between the populations from 27 different localities were low. Population within the same haplotype shows 0.00 genetic distance, while between different haplotype harbour 0.003 values. This was due to minor nucleotide variations in the same species.

Figure 4. Neighbour-Joining tree representing COI gene sequences all *Tetraponera rufonigra* populations in 27 different infested locations against the outgroup (*T. hespera, DQ176236.1*) downloaded from NCBI GeneBank database. The tree was constructed based on pairwise distances (p-distance) and 1000 bootstrap replications. Abbreviation for each of the study site in Table 1
**DISCUSSION**

Genetic distance between the individuals obtained from 27 different localities was low, indicating a close relationship between the populations. A close relationship between populations was also due to the low gene flow and genetic dispersal. *T. rufonigra* exhibited a monogyne colony, where the queens have long-range nuptial flights and could form a new colony independently without the help of workers (Ward 2001). Although this species has a long-distance dispersal ability, habitat specialization, however, may have limited the range expansion of this species (Kumar & Mishra 2008). Other species known to have smaller range expansion is *T. binghami*, which is restricted to wet forests.

According to Gathorne-Hardy et al. (2002), the distribution of plants and animals’ taxa in Wallace’s line is influenced by the role of habitat and climatic tolerances. *Tetraponera rufonigra* collected from this study probably experienced a panmictic population due to the low level of genetic variation among the populations. Almost all individuals in the populations were genetically identical to each other. This finding was supported by Davidson (2003) where they stated *T. rufonigra* was a monotypic species group, forming a single population with no sub-species. Moreover, low genetic variation among the populations in the present study might resulted from recent colonization, supported by Yumoto and Maruhashi (1999), who suggested populations with low genetic variability were possibly resulted from recent colonization due to invasion by other species of ants occupying the same area.

Two haplotypes were identified i.e. Haplotype 1 and Haplotype 2. Haplotype 1 was mostly the populations from industrial areas surrounded by young and newly cultivated trees, while, populations in Haplotype 2 were from residential areas with many tall trees. Members of *T. rufonigra* are arboreal ants and are always found foraging on tall trees and can travel up to the apex of trees (Gaume et al. 2005). However, adaptation may have occurred to populations of *T. rufonigra* in Haplotype 2 as these ants foraged for foods on the ground instead of on the trees. Moreover, the residential areas experienced fewer disturbances in terms of human activities and development compared to industrial areas in Haplotype 1. Numerous ant species are highly sensitive to microclimate fluctuations and habitat structures; therefore, they immediately respond to any environmental changes (Gunawardene 2008; Klimes et al. 2015; Rizali et al. 2008; Patkar & Chavan 2014).

ML and NJ trees analyses revealed the monophyly of *T. rufonigra* supported by more than 50% bootstrap. The major group consisted of all Haplotype 1 populations and a minor group consisted of Haplotype 2 populations. The tree was also supported by mean pair-wise genetic distance (0.003), suggesting all *T. rufonigra* populations were clustered together showing no significant difference between different localities. All populations had low nucleotide variations, and might, for this reason, all populations were clustered in one monophyletic group. Locations might also affect the result as sampling sites selected in this study were somewhat close to each other. In this study, the distribution of *T. rufonigra* in Penang Island was not restricted to any particular plant species where they were found on ornamental trees, fruit trees and shrubs. According to Ward and Downie (2005), *T. rufonigra* is labeled as an ant-plant generalist with generalized twig nesting habits and is not tied to any particular plant species. Furthermore, populations of *T. rufonigra* were high in areas with leaf litter or left-over foods scattered around the trees, implying that these ant populations preferred disturbed conditions. A similar observation was reported by Abe et al. (2012) where
T. rufonigra was found at Anak Krakatau, Indonesia that had been frequently disturbed by volcanic activity and dominated by poor vegetation.

CONCLUSION

There was a low genetic distance among populations based on pairwise genetic distances. Based on phylogenetic tree constructed, populations from Taman Mahsuri (TM), Cangkat Sungai Ara (CSA), Sungai Gelugor (SG), Lebuh Rambai (LR) and Cheeseman (CH) shared the same clade, while, other 22 localities were in another clade. This indicated that T. rufonigra from sites in the same shared clade were closely related to each other. Low genetic variation between the populations were also observed. Further analysis such as population genetic structure of T. rufonigra should be executed to better understand the genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationship of this species.
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